arXiv:1510.05038v4 [math.CO] 25 Dec 2015

On various formulas counting one-face maps

Ricky X. F. Chen[1], Christian M. Reidys[2]

Biocomplexity Institute*and Dept. of Mathematics,
Virginia Tech, 1015 Life Sciences Circle,
Blacksburg, VA 24061, USA†

[1]chen.ricky1982@gmail.com, [2]duck@santafe.edu

Abstract

In this note, we make some connections between the Lehman-Walsh formula, the Harer-Zagier formula and Chapuy's recurrence, all counting one-face maps. First, we prove a new expression for the Harer-Zagier formula combinatorially. Extracting the coefficients from the new expression, we then obtain a new explicit formula involving convolution of the Stirling numbers of the first kind for the number, $A(n,g)$, of one-face maps of genus g. We next prove that the new formula equals the Lehman-Walsh formula by constructing two involutions on pairs of permutations. Finally, we connect our new expression to a result of Stanley, and obtain the log-concavity of $A(n,g)$. In addition, thanks to the symmetry of the new expression, a straightforward computation leads to the recursion for $A(n,g)$ obtained by Chapuy recently.

Keywords: Lehman-Walsh formula; Harer-Zagier formula; Chapuy's recurrence; one-face map; Stirling number of the first kind; log-concavity
Mathematics Subject Classifications: 05A05; 05A19; 05C05

1 Introduction

A one-face map is a graph embedded in a closed orientable surface such that the complement is homeomorphic to an open disk. It is well known that the combinatorial counterpart of one-face maps are fatgraphs with one boundary [6]. A fatgraph is a graph with a specified cyclic order of ends of edges incident to each vertex of the graph.

A fatgraph of n edges with one boundary can be encoded into a triple of permutations (α,β,γ) on $[2n]=\{1,2,\cdots 2n\}$ where γ has only one cycle. This can be seen as follows: given a fatgraph F with one boundary, we call the two ends of an edge as two half edges and label all half edges using the labels from the set $[2n]$ so that each label appears exactly

*formerly the Virginia Bioinformatics Institute

†Part of this work was completed at the Dept. of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Southern Denmark, Odense M 5230, Denmark

once. This induces two permutations α and β, where α is an involution without fixed points such that each α-cycle consists of the labels of the two half edges of the same edge and each β-cycle represents the counterclockwise cyclic arrangement of all half edges incident to the same vertex. The third permutation $\gamma = \alpha\beta$ is the unique counterclockwise boundary of the fatgraph F. Obviously, a different triple of permutations can be obtained by relabeling the half edges of the fatgraph.

To make the correspondence between fatgraphs with one boundary (i.e., one-face maps) and triples of permutations one-to-one, we usually consider rooted one-face maps, i.e., one half-edge is particularly marked and called the root. For convenience, we always label the root with the label 1. Now given two rooted one-face maps which are respectively encoded into the triple (α, β, γ) and $(\alpha', \beta', \gamma')$, they will be viewed as equivalent if there exists a permutation π such that

$$\alpha' = \pi\alpha\pi^{-1}, \quad \gamma' = \pi\gamma\pi^{-1}, \quad \pi(1) = 1. \tag{1}$$

Accordingly, every rooted one-face map, up to equivalence, corresponds uniquely to a factorization of the cyclic permutation $(1, 2, \ldots 2n)$ into an involution without fixed points and another permutation.

Following Euler's characteristic formula, the number of edges n, the number of vertices v and the genus g of a one-face map satisfy

$$v - n + 1 = 2 - 2g. \tag{2}$$

This identity can be also used to define the genus of a fatgraph with one boundary. Namely, a genus g fatgraph corresponds to a factorization of $(1, 2, \ldots 2n)$ into an involution without fixed points and a permutation with $n + 1 - 2g$ cycles.

The enumeration aspects of rooted one-face maps have been widely studied in the literature, see for instance [1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 16] and the references therein. Let $A(n, g)$ denote the number of rooted one-face maps (up to equivalence) of genus g having n edges. Four decades ago, Walsh and Lehman [16, eq. (13)], using a direct recursive method and relying on formal power series, obtained an explicit formula for $A(n, g)$ which can be reformulated as the following:

$$A(n, g) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash g} \frac{(n+1)n \cdots (n+2-2g-\ell(\lambda))}{2^{2g} \prod_i c_i!(2i+1)^{c_i}} \frac{(2n)!}{(n+1)!n!}, \tag{3}$$

where the summation is taken over partitions λ of g, c_i is the number of parts i in λ, and $\ell(\lambda)$ is the total number of parts.

More than 10 years later, Harer and Zagier [9] obtained that

$$A(n, g) = \frac{(2n)!}{(n+1)!(n-2g)!} [x^{2g}] \left(\frac{x/2}{\tanh x/2} \right)^{n+1}, \tag{4}$$

where $[x^k]f(x)$ denotes the coefficient of x^k in the expansion of the function $f(x)$. Considering the relation between the RHS of eq. (4) and its derivatives, they obtained the

following three-term recurrence known as the Harer-Zagier recurrence:

$$(n+1)A(n,g) = 2(2n-1)A(n-1,g) + (2n-1)(n-1)(2n-3)A(n-2,g-1). \quad (5)$$

They also obtained the Harer-Zagier formula:

$$A_n(x) = \sum_{g\geq 0} A(n,g)x^{n+1-2g} = \frac{(2n)!}{2^n n!}\sum_{k\geq 1} 2^{k-1}\binom{n}{k-1}\binom{x}{k}. \quad (6)$$

There are many studies on obtaining these results from different approaches, see for instance [1, 2, 7, 8, 10] and the references therein. A direct bijection for the Harer-Zagier formula was firstly given in [7]. Combinatorial arguments to obtain the Lehman-Walsh formula and the Harer-Zagier recurrence were recently given in [2], respectively. Very recently, Chapuy [1] obtained another recurrence for $A(n,g)$ via a bijective approach:

$$2gA(n,g) = \sum_{k=1}^{g}\binom{n+1-2(g-k)}{2k+1}A(n,g-k). \quad (7)$$

See also [3] for a refinement of this recurrence. However, to the best of our knowledge, there seems no study on the relation between these formulas. For example, the coefficient of the term x^{n+1-2g} on the RHS of the Harer-Zagier formula should be equal to the Lehman-Walsh formula. Namely, there holds

$$\frac{(2n)!}{2^n n!}\sum_{k\geq 1} 2^{k-1}\binom{n}{k-1}\frac{(-1)^{n+1-k}C(k,n+1-2g)}{k!} = \sum_{\lambda\vdash g}\frac{(n+1)n\cdots(n+2-2g-\ell(\lambda))}{2^{2g}\prod_i c_i!(2i+1)^{c_i}}\frac{(2n)!}{(n+1)!n!}, \quad (8)$$

where $C(n,k)$ is the unsigned Stirling number of the first kind, i.e., $C(n,k)$ counts the number of permutations on $[n]$ with k cycles. How can one directly see it (without computing and comparing their generating functions)? And how to obtain the Harer-Zagier three-term recurrence for these numbers in eq. (8) without manipulating their generating functions?

In this note, we make some connections of these formulas. First, we prove the following new expression for the Harer-Zagier formula combinatorially:

$$A_n(x) = \frac{(2n)!}{2^n n!}\sum_{k\geq 0}\binom{n}{k}\binom{x+n-k}{n+1}. \quad (9)$$

This new expression is an analog of a formula obtained by Stanley [15] and expressed using the backward shift operator. Extracting the coefficients from the new expression, we then obtain a new explicit formula which appears more regular than both sides of eq. (8) for the number of one-face maps:

$$A(n,g) = \frac{(2n)!}{2^n n!(n+1)!}\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}\sum_{i+j=n+2-2g} C(n-k+1,i)(-1)^{k+1-j}C(k+1,j). \quad (10)$$

We next prove that the newly obtained explicit formula equals the Lehman-Walsh formula by constructing two involutions on a set of pairs of permutations. We also prove that the numbers specified by the Lehman-Walsh formula satisfy the Harer-Zagier recurrence directly. Finally, we show that the sequence $A(n,g)$ is log-concave for fixed n using a result of Stanley [15], and satisfies Chapuy's recurrence by straightforward computation due to the symmetry of our new expression for $A_n(x)$.

2 A new expression for $A_n(x)$

In this section, based on the following theorem we will obtain a new expression for the Harer-Zagier formula, i.e., the polynomial $A_n(x)$.

Theorem 2.1. *For $n, q \geq 0$, $c \in \mathbb{C}$, we have*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \binom{2n+c+q-k-2}{n+q-1} z^k = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \binom{n+c+q-2}{k+q-1} (z+1)^k. \tag{11}$$

We remark that although eq. (11) appears quite fundamental, it seems not explicitly presented in the literature. More importantly, some other results people interested are immediate consequences of it as we will see later. Nevertheless, we give here a combinatorial proof for completeness, employing certain colored plane trees.

A colored-labeled plane tree with $n+1$ vertices is a plane tree where its vertices are uniquely labeled by $[n+1] = \{1, 2, \ldots, n+1\}$ and its leaves are either not colored, or colored N or Y. A vertex which is not a leaf is an internal vertex. Let $int(T)$, $lev_Y(T)$ and $lev_N(T)$ denote the set of the internal vertices, Y-leaves and N-leaves in a colored-labeled plane tree T, respectively. As usual, the cardinality of a set S is denoted by $|S|$.

With foresight, we recall a bijection between labeled plane trees and sets of matches, called *Chen's bijective algorithm* [4]. A match is a labeled plane tree with two vertices, i.e., consists of a root and a leaf.

Proposition 2.1. *(Chen [4]) There is a bijection ϕ from labeled plane trees with labels in $[n+1]$ to sets of n matches with labels in $\{1, \ldots, n+1, (n+2)^*, \ldots, (2n)^*\}$. For a labeled plane tree T, every internal vertex in T will appear as the root of some match in $\phi(T)$, while every leaf of T will appear as the leaf of some match in $\phi(T)$, and vice versa.*

Note there are n matches in $\phi(T)$ in total. Except these matches having (unstarred) roots which correspond to internal vertices, every match of the rest must have a starred root (i.e., with a label marked with *). For details with respect to *Chen's bijective algorithm*, we refer the readers to [4].

Let $\Gamma_{n,c,q}$ denote the set of colored-labeled plane trees on $[n+c+q]$ in which all vertices with labels in $[q]$ are all uncolored leaves, all vertices with labels in $\{q+1, \cdots, q+c\}$ are internal. Based on Proposition 2.1, we obtain

Lemma 2.1. *There is a bijection between the set of colored-labeled plane trees $T \in \Gamma_{n,c,q}$ with $|int(T)| + |lev_Y(T)| = k + c$ and the set of pairs (A, χ) where $A \subseteq [n+c+q] \setminus [c+q]$*

with $|A| = k$ *and* χ *is a set of* $n+c+q-1$ *matches with labels in* $\{1,\ldots,n+c+q,(n+c+q+1)^*,\ldots,2(n+c+q-1)^*\}$ *such that all vertices with labels in* $\{q+1,\cdots,q+c\}$ *are roots and other unstarred roots of* χ *are in* A.

Proof. Given $T \in \Gamma_{n,c,q}$ with $|int(T)| + |lev_Y(T)| = k+c$, clearly the summation of the number of internal vertices other than those in $\{q+1,\ldots q+c\}$ and the number of Y-leaves is k. Denote the union of this set of internal vertices and Y-leaves as A. Next, without considering the coloring of leaves, T corresponds to a set of $n+c+q-1$ matches with labels in $\{1,\ldots,n+c+q,(n+c+q+1)^*,\ldots,2(n+c+q-1)^*\}$ such that all vertices with labels in $\{q+1,\cdots,q+c\}$ are roots and other unstarred roots of χ are in A, according to Proposition 2.1. Thus, T corresponds to the pair (A,χ).

Conversely, given a pair (A,χ), the set of matches χ corresponds to a tree $T \in \Gamma_{n,c,q}$ according to Proposition 2.1. Next, we only need to color the leaves of T. Note, there are three classes of leaves: those in $[q]$ which are uncolored by assumption, those in A and those not in A. We color those leaves in A color Y and those not in A color N. Thus, vertices in A are either internal or Y-leaves. Hence, $|int(T)| + |lev_Y(T)| = k+c$, completing the proof. □

Figure 1 shows an example of the bijection.



Figure 1: A tree in $\Gamma_{11,2,2}$ and its corresponding pair (A,χ).

Proof of Theorem 2.1: firstly, we claim that the number of trees $T \in \Gamma_{n,c,q}$ such that $|int(T)| + |lev_Y(T)| = k+c$ is

$$\binom{n}{k}\binom{k+n+c+q-2}{n+q-1}(n+c+q-1)!.$$

Based on Lemma 2.1, there are $\binom{n}{k}$ ways to choose A. Besides those c prescribed roots in $\{q+1,\ldots q+c\}$, the rest of $(n+c+q-1)-c = n+q-1$ roots can only come from the set $A \cup \{(n+c+q+1)^*,\ldots,2(n+c+q-1)^*\}$ so that there are $\binom{k+n+c+q-2}{n+q-1}$ ways to determine the rest of $n+q-1$ roots of χ. At last, there are $(n+c+q-1)!$ ways of pairing up all roots and leaves to obtain $n+c+q-1$ matches, whence the claim.

Next we weigh each tree $T \in \Gamma_{n,c,q}$ by $z^{|lev_N(T)|}$. Then, the total weight over all trees in $\Gamma_{n,c,q}$ is

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} z^{n-k}\binom{n}{k}\binom{k+n+c+q-2}{n+q-1}(n+c+q-1)!.$$

Counting in another approach, we firstly have the total weight over all trees $T \in \Gamma_{n,c,q}$ with $|int(T)| = c + k$

$$\binom{n}{k}\binom{n+c+q-2}{n+q-1-k}(n+c+q-1)!(z+1)^{n-k}.$$

It follows from Proposition 2.1 that a tree $T \in \Gamma_{n,c,q}$ with $|int(T)| = c + k$ (without considering the coloring of leaves) corresponds to a set of $n+c+q-1$ matches χ where there are $c+k$ unstarred roots in total. Since vertices in $\{q+1, \dots q+c\}$ are always unstarred roots of χ by assumption, there are $\binom{n}{k}$ ways to choose from $\{q+c+1, \dots n+q+c\}$ k additional unstarred roots of χ. Furthermore, there are $\binom{n+c+q-2}{(n+c+q-1)-c-k}$ ways to choose starred roots and $(n+c+q-1)!$ different ways of pairing up. Finally, all $n-k$ leaves other than those in $[q]$ can be either colored Y or N, whence each of them contributes a weight of $(z+1)$. Summing over all $0 \le k \le n$, we also obtain the total weight over all trees in $\Gamma_{n,c,q}$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}\binom{n+c+q-2}{n+q-1-k}(n+c+q-1)!(z+1)^{n-k}.$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} z^{n-k}\binom{n}{k}\binom{k+n+c+q-2}{n+q-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}\binom{n+c+q-2}{n+q-1-k}(z+1)^{n-k}.$$

Since both sides of (11) can be viewed as polynomials in c, it holds for any $c \in \mathbb{C}$, completing the proof of Theorem 2.1.

As quick applications, we immediately obtain a bijective proof for the bijective proof problem of Stanley [13, (15)]:

Corollary 2.1 (Stanley [13, (15)])**.** *For $n \ge 0$, we have*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}^2 z^k = \sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n}{j}\binom{2n-j}{n}(z-1)^j. \tag{12}$$

Proof. Taking $c = q = 1, z = z-1$ in (11) completes the proof. □

We also obtain a combinatorial proof for the new expression of the Narayana polynomials obtained in [5] and [11] via studying statistics of lattice paths:

Corollary 2.2. *For $n \ge 0$, the Narayana numbers $N_{n,k} = \frac{1}{n}\binom{n}{k}\binom{n}{k-1}$ satisfy*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} N_{n,k} z^k = \sum_{k=0}^{n}\frac{1}{n+1}\binom{n+1}{k}\binom{2n-k}{n}(z-1)^k. \tag{13}$$

Proof. Setting $q = 0, c = 2, z = z-1$ completes the proof. □

Finally, we obtain a new expression for the Harer-Zagier formula.

Corollary 2.3.

$$\sum_{g\geq 0} A(n,g)x^{n+1-2g} = \frac{(2n)!}{2^n n!}\sum_{k\geq 0}\binom{n}{k}\binom{x+n-k}{n+1}. \tag{14}$$

Proof. Setting $q=2, c=x-n, z=1$ in (11), we obtain

$$\sum_{k\geq 0}\binom{n}{k}\binom{x+n-k}{n+1} = \sum_{k\geq 1}\binom{n}{k-1}\binom{x}{k}2^{k-1},$$

whence the corollary. □

Clearly, $A_n(x)$ represents either an odd or even function, depending on n. However, it is not obvious from the RHS of eq. (6). One advantage of our new expression on the RHS of eq. (14) is that it nicely represents this feature: let $(a)_n$ denote the falling factorial $a(a-1)\cdots(a-n+1)$. Then the feature follows by noticing that

$$\begin{aligned}\binom{n}{k}\frac{(x+n-k)_{n+1}}{(n+1)!} &= \binom{n}{k}\frac{(x+n-k)(x+n-k-1)\cdots(x-k)}{(n+1)!}\\ &= (-1)^{n+1}\binom{n}{k}\frac{[-(x+n-k)][-(x+n-k-1)]\cdots[-(x-k)]}{(n+1)!}\\ &= (-1)^{n+1}\binom{n}{n-k}\frac{(-x+n-(n-k))_{n+1}}{(n+1)!}.\end{aligned}$$

3 Relating the Harer-Zagier formula to the Lehman-Walsh formula

Clearly, $A(n,g)$ is equal to the coefficient of the term x^{n+1-2g} on the right hand side of eq. (14). We further set $A(n,g) = \frac{(2n)!}{2^n n!(n+1)!}\bar{A}(n,g)$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\bar{A}(n,g)\\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}[x^{n+1-2g}](x+n-k)(x+n-k-1)\cdots x(x-1)\cdots(x-k)\\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}[x^{n+2-2g}][(x+n-k)(x+n-k-1)\cdots x][x(x-1)\cdots(x-k)]. \qquad (15)\end{aligned}$$

Note there hold, see [14] for instance, that

$$x(x+1)(x+2)\cdots(x+n-1) = \sum_{k\geq 1} C(n,k)x^k, \tag{16}$$

$$x(x-1)(x-2)\cdots(x-n+1) = \sum_{k\geq 1}(-1)^{n-k}C(n,k)x^k. \tag{17}$$

Therefore, from eq. (15) we obtain a new explicit formula for $A(n,g)$ involving convolution of the Stirling numbers of the first kind:

Theorem 3.1. $A(n,g) = \frac{(2n)!}{2^n n!(n+1)!}\bar{A}(n,g)$ *where*

$$\bar{A}(n,g) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \sum_{i+j=n+2-2g} C(n-k+1,i)(-1)^{k+1-j}C(k+1,j). \tag{18}$$

Instead of proving eq. (8), we will prove the equivalence of our new explicit formula and the Lehman-Walsh formula.

We call a cycle of odd (resp. even) length, i.e., with an odd (resp. even) number of elements, an odd (resp. even) cycle. Let $O(n+1,g)$ denote the number of permutations on $[n+1]$ which consists of $n+1-2g$ odd (disjoint) cycles. For readers who are familiar with the formula for the number of permutations of a specific cycle type, for instance Stanley [14, Prop. 1.3.2], they may immediately realize that the Lehman-Walsh formula is equal to $\frac{(2n)!}{(n+1)!n!2^{2g}}O(n+1,g)$. Thus, in order to prove the equivalence of our new explicit formula and the Lehman-Walsh formula, it suffices to show $\bar{A}(n,g) = 2^{n-g}O(n+1,g)$.

Let $S = \{a, 1, 2, \ldots n, b\}$. Let $\mathcal{T}_{A,l}$ denote the set of pairs (α,β) where α is a permutation on $A \subset S$ while β is a permutation on $S \setminus A$, and the summation of the number of cycles in α and β is l. Let

$$\mathcal{T}_l = \bigcup_{A \subset S} \mathcal{T}_{A,l},$$

where the summation is over all $A \subset S$ such that $a \in A$ and $b \notin A$. For each pair $(\alpha,\beta) \in \mathcal{T}_l$, denote the difference between the number of elements in β and the number of cycles in β as $d(\beta)$, and weigh the pair by $W[(\alpha,\beta)] = (-1)^{d(\beta)}$. Then, it is easy to see

Lemma 3.1.

$$\sum_{(\alpha,\beta)\in\mathcal{T}_l} W[(\alpha,\beta)] = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \sum_{i+j=l} C(n-k+1,i)(-1)^{k+1-j}C(k+1,j). \tag{19}$$

Let $\mathcal{T}' \subset \mathcal{T}_l$ consist of pairs (α,β) where $\alpha(a) = a$ and b is contained in an odd cycle.

Lemma 3.2.

$$\sum_{(\alpha,\beta)\in\mathcal{T}_l} W[(\alpha,\beta)] = \sum_{(\alpha,\beta)\in\mathcal{T}'} W[(\alpha,\beta)].$$

Proof. Given $(\alpha,\beta) \in \mathcal{T}_l$, denote the length of the cycle containing b as B. Define a map $\phi : \mathcal{T}_l \to \mathcal{T}_l$ as follows:

- Case 1: If $(\alpha,\beta) \in \mathcal{T}'$, then $\phi((\alpha,\beta)) = (\alpha,\beta)$;
- Case 2: For $(\alpha,\beta) \notin \mathcal{T}'$, we distinguish two situations:
  - If $\alpha(a) \neq a$ and $B \in$ *odd*, $\phi((\alpha,\beta)) = (\alpha',\beta')$ where α' is obtained by deleting $\alpha(a)$ from the cycle decomposition of α while β' is obtained by inserting $\alpha(a)$ between b and $\beta(b)$.

– If $B \in even$, $\phi((\alpha,\beta)) = (\alpha',\beta')$ where α' is obtained by inserting $\beta(b)$ between a and $\alpha(a)$ while β' is obtained by deleting $\beta(b)$ from the cycle decomposition of β.

Note in either case, we do not change the summation of the number of cycles in the pair. For Case 1, ϕ-map will preserve weights. For Case 2, β and β' differ in the number of elements by 1 but with the same number of cycles, so that $W[(\alpha,\beta)] = -W[(\alpha',\beta')]$. Hence, the total weight over (α,β) in Case 2 is 0. Thus, $\sum_{(\alpha,\beta)\in\mathcal{T}_l} W[(\alpha,\beta)]$ is equal to the total weight over (α,β) in Case 1, completing the proof. □

An illustration of the map ϕ, with $S = \{a,1,2,\ldots 8,b\}$:

$$(\alpha,\beta) = ((a3)(164),(25)(b78)), \quad \phi((\alpha,\beta)) = ((a)(164),(25)(b378)).$$

Let $\mathcal{T}''$ consist of the set of pairs $(\alpha,\beta) \in \mathcal{T}'$ such that all cycles in α and β are odd.

Lemma 3.3.

$$\sum_{(\alpha,\beta)\in\mathcal{T}'} W[(\alpha,\beta)] = \sum_{(\alpha,\beta)\in\mathcal{T}''} W[(\alpha,\beta)].$$

Proof. Define a map $\varphi : \mathcal{T}' \to \mathcal{T}'$ as follows:

- Case 1: If $(\alpha,\beta) \in \mathcal{T}''$, then $\varphi((\alpha,\beta)) = (\alpha,\beta)$;
- Case 2: If $(\alpha,\beta) \notin \mathcal{T}''$, there is at least one even cycle in the collection of cycles from both α and β. Obviously, there is a unique even cycle, denoted by C, containing the minimal element among the union of elements from all even cycles. Let $\varphi((\alpha,\beta)) = (\alpha',\beta')$. If C comes from α, $\alpha' = \alpha \setminus C$ and $\beta' = \beta \cup C$; otherwise, $\alpha' = \alpha \cup C$ and $\beta' = \beta \setminus C$.

Clearly, for Case 1, φ will preserve weights. For Case 2, β and β' differ in the number of elements by an even number while differ in the number of cycles by 1, so we have $W[(\alpha,\beta)] = -W[\varphi((\alpha,\beta))]$. Therefore, the total weight over (α,β) in Case 2 is 0, completing the proof. □

An illustration of the map φ, with $S = \{a,1,2,\ldots 8,b\}$:

$$(\alpha,\beta) = ((a)(16)(4),(25)(b78)), \quad \varphi((\alpha,\beta)) = ((a)(4),(16)(25)(b378)).$$

Theorem 3.2. *For $n,l \geq 0$, we have*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \sum_{i+j=l} C(n-k+1,i)(-1)^{k+1-j} C(k+1,j) = 2^{l-2} O(n+1, \frac{n+2-l}{2}). \tag{20}$$

Proof. Based on Lemmas 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, the LHS of eq. (20) is equal to $\sum_{(\alpha,\beta)\in\mathcal{T}''} W[(\alpha,\beta)]$. Since all cycles are odd, the number of elements and the number of cycles in β have the same parity. Thus, for any $(\alpha,\beta) \in \mathcal{T}''$, $W[(\alpha,\beta)] = 1$ so that the total weight over $\mathcal{T}''$ is equal to the total number of elements in $\mathcal{T}''$.

Each pair $(\alpha,\beta)\in\mathcal{T}''$ can be viewed as a partition of all cycles except the cycle containing b, of a permutation on $[n]\cup\{b\}$ with $l-1$ odd cycles, into two ordered parts. Conversely, given a permutation on $[n]\cup\{b\}$ with $l-1$ odd cycles, there are 2^{l-2} different ways to partition all cycles except the one containing b into two ordered parts. Therefore, we have

$$\sum_{(\alpha,\beta)\in\mathcal{T}''} W[(\alpha,\beta)] = |\mathcal{T}''| = 2^{l-2}O(n+1,\frac{n+2-l}{2}),$$

completing the proof. □

As a corollary, we obtain a new recurrence satisfied by the unsigned Stirling numbers of the first kind:

Corollary 3.1. *For $n\geq 0$ and $l\neq n \mod 2$, we have*

$$C(n+1,l-1)=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\binom{n}{k}\sum_{i+j=l}(-1)^{k-j}C(n-k+1,i)C(k+1,j). \tag{21}$$

Proof. Since every cycle is odd for any $(\alpha,\beta)\in\mathcal{T}''$, the number of total elements $n+2$ should have the same parity as the total number of cycles l. If $l\neq n \mod 2$, $\mathcal{T}''=\emptyset$, hence the first equation. The second formula is just a reformulation of the first equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}\sum_{i+j=l}(-1)^{k+1-j}C(n-k+1,i)C(k+1,j)\\
=&\binom{n}{0}\sum_{i+j=l}(-1)^{1-j}C(n+1,i)C(1,j)+\sum_{k=1}^{n}\binom{n}{k}\sum_{i+j=l}(-1)^{k+1-j}C(n-k+1,i)C(k+1,j)\\
=&C(n+1,l-1)+\sum_{k=1}^{n}\binom{n}{k}\sum_{i+j=l}(-1)^{k+1-j}C(n-k+1,i)C(k+1,j)=0,
\end{aligned}$$

completing the proof. □

Corollary 3.2. *For $n,g\geq 0$, we have*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}\sum_{i+j=n+2-2g}C(n-k+1,i)(-1)^{k+1-j}C(k+1,j)=2^{n-2g}O(n+1,g). \tag{22}$$

Accordingly, we obtain the Lehman-Walsh formula

$$A(n,g)=\frac{(2n)!}{(n+1)!n!2^n}\bar{A}(n,g)=\frac{(2n)!}{(n+1)!n!2^{2g}}O(n+1,g).$$

Finally, we show how to go back to the Harer-Zagier recurrence from the Lehman-Walsh formula. To this end, we firstly show that the numbers $O(n,g)$ satisfy

$$O(n+1,g)=O(n,g)+\sum_{k=1}^{g}(n)_{2k}O(n-2k,g-k). \tag{23}$$

This can be seen by classifying all permutations on $[n+1]$ with $n+1-2g$ odd cycles based on the length ℓ_1 of the cycle containing the element 1. Obviously, the class with $\ell_1 = 1$ has $O(n, g)$ permutations. For the class with $\ell_1 = 2k+1$, $k \geq 1$, there are $(n)_{2k}$ ways to choose $2k$ elements and together with 1 form a cycle of length $2k+1$. The remaining $n-2k$ elements can arbitrarily form $n-2g = (n-2k)-2(g-k)$ odd cycles, where there are $O(n-2k, g-k)$ different ways. Thus, eq. (23) follows.

As an application of eq. (23), we obtain

$$O(n-1, g-1) = O(n-2, g-1) + \sum_{k=1}^{g-1} (n-2)_{2k} O(n-2k-2, g-1-k).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} O(n+1, g) &= O(n, g) + \sum_{k=1}^{g} (n)_{2k} O(n-2k, g-k) \\ &= O(n, g) + n(n-1)[O(n-2, g-1) + \sum_{k=2}^{g} (n-2)_{2k-2} O(n-2k, g-k)] \\ &= O(n, g) + n(n-1) O(n-1, g-1). \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

With the recurrence (24), it is straightforward to check that the numbers $A(n, g) = \frac{(2n)!}{(n+1)!n!2^{2g}} O(n+1, g)$ satisfy the Harer-Zagier recurrence.

4 The log-concavity of $A(n, g)$ and Chapuy's new recurrence

Let E be the backward shift operator on polynomials in x, i.e., $Ef(x) = f(x-1)$. Stanely [15, eq. (6)] gives a formula using the backward shift operator which can be reformulated as

$$\sum_{g \geq 0} A(n, g) x^{n+1-2g} = \frac{1}{2^n n!(2n+1)} (1-E^2)^n (x+2n)_{2n+1}. \tag{25}$$

It is proved in [15] that the RHS of the last equation is a degree $n+1$ polynomial despite it appears to be a degree $2n+1$ polynomial. Nevertheless, our new expression of $A_n(x)$ immediately gives a simpler analog as follows

Corollary 4.1.

$$\sum_{g \geq 0} A(n, g) x^{n+1-2g} = \frac{(2n)!}{2^n n!(n+1)!} (1+E)^n (x+n)_{n+1}. \tag{26}$$

In [15], it is further proved that every zero of the polynomial on the RHS of eq. (25) has real part 0 based on the following result:

Proposition 4.1 (Stanley [15]). *Let $g(t)$ be a complex polynomial of degree exactly d, such that every zero of $g(t)$ lies on the circle $|z| = 1$. Suppose that the multiplicity of 1 as a root of $g(t)$ is $m \geq 0$. Let $P(x) = g(E)(x+n-1)_n$. If $d \leq n-1$, then*

$$P(x) = (x+n-d-1)_{n-d}Q(x),$$

where $Q(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $d-m$ for which every zero has real part $\frac{d-n+1}{2}$.

Applying Proposition 4.1 to the RHS of eq. (26), we have $g(t) = (1+t)^n$, $d = n$, $m = 0$ so that $\sum_{g\geq 0} A(n,g)x^{n+1-2g} = xQ(x)$ with every zero of $Q(x)$ being pure imaginary. Let $H(x) = \sum_{g\geq 0} A(n,g)x^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor - g}$. Then, we have

Corollary 4.2. *$H(x)$ has only non-positive real zeros, and for fixed $n \geq 1$, the sequence $A(n,g)$ is log-concave, i.e., $A(n,g)^2 \geq A(n,g-1)A(n,g+1)$.*

Proof. By construction, we have either $H(x^2) = xQ(x)$ or $H(x^2) = Q(x)$, depending on the parity of n. In either case, it implies $H(x)$ has only non-positive real zeros. It is well known that having only real zeros implies log-concavity of the coefficients (see [12] for instance), completing the proof. □

In the following, we prove another property for the polynomial of the form $g(E)(x+n)_{n+1}$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $g(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k t^k$ and $F(x) = g(E)(x+n)_{n+1}$. If $\frac{a_1}{a_0} = \frac{a_{n-1}}{a_n}$ and $ka_k + (n-k+2)a_{k-2} = \frac{a_1}{a_0}a_{k-1}$, for $2 \leq k \leq n$, then*

$$(n+2+\frac{a_1}{a_0})F(x) = x(F(x+1) - F(x-1)). \tag{27}$$

Moreover, let $b_k = [x^k]g(E)(x+n)_{n+1}$, we have

$$\left(\frac{n+2+\frac{a_1}{a_0}}{2} - k\right) b_k = \sum_{j\geq 1} \binom{k+2j}{2j+1} b_{k+2j}. \tag{28}$$

Proof. Clearly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
a_k x(x+1+n-k)_{n+1} &= a_k(x-k+k)(x+1+n-k)_{n+1} \\
&= (x+1+n-k)a_k(x+n-k)_{n+1} + ka_k(x+1+n-k)_{n+1}, \\
a_k x(x-1+n-k)_{n+1} &= a_k[x+(n-k)-(n-k)](x-1+n-k)_{n+1} \\
&= (x-k-1)a_k(x+n-k)_{n+1} - (n-k)a_k(x-1+n-k)_{n+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note the difference of the respective sum over all $0 \leq k \leq n$ of the LHS of the last two equations equals the RHS of eq. (27). Then, to obtain eq. (27), it suffices to show the difference of the respective sum of the RHS of the last two equations equals the LHS of eq. (27). This follows from the following computations:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=0}^n (x+1+n-k)a_k(x+n-k)_{n+1} - \sum_{k=0}^n (x-k-1)a_k(x+n-k)_{n+1} \\
=&(n+2)\sum_{k=0}^n a_k(x+n-k)_{n+1} = (n+2)F(x),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=0}^{n} k a_k (x+1+n-k)_{n+1} + \sum_{k=0}^{n} (n-k) a_k (x-1+n-k)_{n+1} \\
=&\sum_{k=2}^{n} \{k a_k (x+1+n-k)_{n+1} + [n-(k-2)] a_{k-2} (x-1+n-(k-2))_{n+1}\} + \\
&\qquad a_1 (x+1+n-1)_{n+1} + [n-(n-1)] a_{n-1} (x-1+n-(n-1))_{n+1} \\
=&\sum_{k=2}^{n} [k a_k + (n-k+2) a_{k-2}] (x+n-(k-1))_{n+1} + a_1 (x+n)_{n+1} + a_{n-1} (x)_{n+1} \\
=&\frac{a_1}{a_0} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a_k (x+n-k)_{n+1} + \frac{a_1}{a_0} a_0 (x+n)_{n+1} + \frac{a_1}{a_0} a_n (x)_{n+1} = \frac{a_1}{a_0} F(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Next, the polynomial $F(x)$ is analytic so that it has power series expansion everywhere. In particular, we have

$$F(x+1) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{F^{(k)}(x)}{k!} (x+1-x)^k, \quad F(x-1) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{F^{(k)}(x)}{k!} (x-1-x)^k.$$

Then,

$$\frac{n+2+\frac{a_1}{a_0}}{2} F(x) = \frac{x(F(x+1)-F(x-1))}{2} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{x F^{(2k+1)}(x)}{(2k+1)!},$$

which can be reformulated as

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} \left(\frac{n+2+\frac{a_1}{a_0}}{2} - k \right) b_k x^k = \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{x F^{(2j+1)}(x)}{(2j+1)!}.$$

Comparing the coefficients of the last equation based on the fact that

$$\frac{x F^{(2j+1)}(x)}{(2j+1)!} = \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{(i)_{2j+1}}{(2j+1)!} b_i x^{i-2j},$$

we obtain eq. (28) and the proof of the theorem is complete. □

Applying Theorem 4.1 to $A_n(x)$, we immediately obtain Chapuy's recurrence.

Corollary 4.3.

$$2(n+1) A_n(x) = x(A_n(x+1) - A_n(x-1)) \tag{29}$$

$$2g A(n,g) = \sum_{k=1}^{g} \binom{n+1-2(g-k)}{2k+1} A(n, g-k). \tag{30}$$

Proof. For $A_n(x)$, $a_k = \frac{(2n)!}{2^n n!(n+1)!}\binom{n}{k}$. It is obvious that $\frac{a_1}{a_0} = \frac{a_{n-1}}{a_n} = n$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} ka_k + (n-k+2)a_{k-2} &= \frac{(2n)!}{2^n n!(n+1)!}\left[k\binom{n}{k} + [n-(k-2)]\binom{n}{k-2}\right] \\ &= \frac{(2n)!}{2^n n!(n+1)!}\left[n\binom{n-1}{k-1} + n\binom{n-1}{k-2}\right] \\ &= \frac{(2n)!}{2^n n!(n+1)!} n\binom{n}{k-1} = na_{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Theorem 4.1, eq. (29) and Chapuy's recurrence follow. □

References

[1] G. Chapuy, A new combinatorial identity for unicellular maps, via a direct bijective approach, Advances in Applied Mathematics, 47(4) (2011), 874-893.

[2] G. Chapuy, V. Féray, É. Fusy, A simple model of trees for unicellular maps, J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A 120(8) (2013), 2064-2092 .

[3] R.X.F. Chen, C.M. Reidys, A Zagier-Stanley result and various distances of permutations via plane pemutations, submitted.

[4] W.Y.C. Chen, A general bijective algorithm for trees, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 87 (1990), 9635–9639.

[5] W.Y.C. Chen, S.X.M. Pang, On the combinatorics of the Pfaff identity, Discrete. Math. 309 (2009), 2190-2196.

[6] J. Edmonds, A Combinatorial Representation for Polyhedral Surfaces, Notices Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 7 (1960), A646.

[7] I.P. Goulden, A. Nica, A direct bijection for the Harer-Zagier formula, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 111(2) (2005), 224-238.

[8] A. Goupil, G. Schaeffer, Factoring n-cycles and counting maps of given genus, European J. Combin., 19(7) (1998), 819-834.

[9] J. Harer, D. Zagier, The Euler characteristic of the moduli space of curves, Invent. Math., 85(3) (1986), 457–485.

[10] D.M. Jackson, Counting cycles in permutations by group characters, with an application to a topological problem, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 299(2) (1987), 785-801.

[11] T. Mansour, Y. Sun, Dyck paths and partial Bell polynomials, Australas. J. Combin. 42. (2008), 285-297.

[12] R.P. Stanley, Log-concave and unimodal sequences in Algebra, Combinatorics and Geometry, Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 576 (1989), 500-534.

[13] R.P. Stanley, Bijective Proof Problems, http://www-math.mit.edu/~rstan/bij.pdf.

[14] R.P. Stanley, Enumerative Combinatorics, vol. 1, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997.

[15] R.P. Stanley, Two enumerative results on cycles of permutations, European Journal of Combinatorics, 32(6) (2011), 937-943.

[16] T.R.S. Walsh, A.B. Lehman, Counting rooted maps by genus I, J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. B 13 (1972), 192-218.